		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examinar Initiated Interview Sum	mary	10/808,044		EKWURIBE ET	AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Leigh C. Maier		1623	
All Participants:		Status of Applicat	ion: <u>ame</u>	<u>inded</u>	
(1) <u>Leigh C. Maier</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Jennifer Skord</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>3 November 2005</u>		Time: <u>9:30 am</u>			
	□ Applica] No	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:				÷	
Claims discussed: 68, 87, 116, and 117					
Prior art documents discussed: none					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appli of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The separate i	e examiner will provide record of the substanc	e a writte	n summary of the interview, since	he substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Represen	tative Sig	nature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr indicated that claims were essentially in order for allowance but suggested for claims 68 and 87: Either delete "oligomer" in line 2 or change it to "monomer." Ms. Skord authorized deletion of "oligomer" in both claims. Exr further noted that new claims 116 and 117 were identical. Ms Skord authorized cancellation of claim 117.